

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,694	535,694 05/19/2005		Thomas Matzler	SFS-PT061 (P0372US)	9244
3624	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
VOLPE A		•	SAETHER, FLEMMING		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Community	10/535,694	MATZLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Flemming Saether	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	September 2006.						
	s action is non-final.						
· -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	4\X\ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 2 and 4, limiting the composition of materials by the insertion of "consisting" is considered new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (US 2,084,079). Clark discloses a method of making a screw and screw wherein an "ultrahigh-strength" steel is cold formed to form an interior engaging member (15, see Fig, 3). The stock steel material is considered "ultrahigh-strength" since there is no reference value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of ASM Handbooks Online. Clark does not disclose the specific steel alloy of the material. ASM discloses a high-strength steel called "Maraging Steel" which consists of C, Mo, Ni, Co, Ti, Al and Fe in amounts about which are within or within routine experimentation of the claimed amounts. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the steel used in Clark out of the alloy as disclosed in the ASM Handbook because the ASM handbook discloses a superior high strength steel alloy which is suitable to be cold working.

Response to Remarks

Applicant argues that Clark cannot anticipate the claims under section 102 since the stock material disclosed in Clark cannot be considered "ultrahigh-strength" based on its industry accepted meaning. Applicant argues that the industry accepted meaning of "ultrahigh-strength" requires a strength of over 560Mpa. In response, the examiner disagrees because there is no evidence that "ultrahigh-strength" necessarily requires a strength over 560Mpa. based on the "accepted industry meaning". Indeed, even the

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694

Art Unit: 3677

"sometimes" is the strength over 590Mpa. referred to as "ultra-high strength" and the ASM Handbook Online refers to the same material as a "Margining Steel". Therefore, there is simply no requirement that the claimed "ultrahigh-strength" have a strength over 560Mpa. The claims are to be given there broadest reasonable interpretation thus it is proper to consider the steel disclose in Clark as "ultrahigh-strength" since it would be an "ultrahigh-strength" as compared to any other lower strength steel (i.e. aluminum).

Applicants' arguments that the cold working ultrahigh-strength steel is against conventional wisdom is irrelevant in regards to the rejection under section 102.

However, as may be applied to the combination, the disclosure of the ASM Handbook Online clearly states that the Maraging Steels or "ultrahigh-strength" steels may be cold worked so, it would not be against conventional wisdom to apply the same cold working to a fastener.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694

Art Unit: 3677

Page 5

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677